




NORTH DAKOTA  
STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH  
AND CONSOLIDATED LABORATORIES

State Capitol  
Bismarck, North Dakota 58505

N 980073373



ENVIRONMENTAL HI

336110

SDMS 820235

1200 Missouri Avenue  
P.O. Box 5520  
Bismarck, North Dakota 58502-5520

RE: UNCONTROLLED SITE INVESTIGATION

January 19, 1988

Sandra Bourgeois  
U.S. EPA Region VIII 8HWM-SR  
Denver Place, Suite 500  
999 18th Street  
Denver, CO 80202-2405

Dear Ms. Bourgeois:

Our staff is now able to respond to your letter of October 16, 1987 concerning CERCLIS site files. We have compared our files with your "site file index." Our comparison reveals several gaps in our files which you may be able to help us with.

We would like the Identification & Preliminary Assessment and the Site Information documents for the following files:

Belfield North Ashing Site ✓  
Belfield South Ashing Site ✓  
Bowman Lignite Ashing Site ✓  
Defense Fuel Support Point, Grand Forks  
Fargo STP Oil Sludge  
Great Plains Agricultural Experiment Station (11/27/79 PA)  
NDSU Radioactive Waste Site  
UND Radioactive Waste Site  
Husky Industries

In our comparison of our files with your index, it would appear that your index is complete except for some more recent activity at some of the sites. We have several memos or letters relating to closure of the Amoco Killdeer Iron Sponge Disposal Site. We also have the Phase I IRP document for the Grand Forks Air Force Base and the draft Phase II IRP document for the Minot Air Force Base. The other files which show some variance are those where recent work has been done by Ecology and Environment within the last two years. Should you be interested in copies of these documents, such as Analytical Results Reports for the Williams Pipeline sites, or other areas that have been looked at recently, please let us know.



PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT DECISION SHEET

Based on the above designations, a decision can now be made as to further disposition of the site within the Superfund preremedial program.

This site should be classified as a HIGH PRIORITY for a Screening Site Inspection (hSSI) if any of the following combinations of responses were provided above:

- o Known Hazardous Waste (IA), Observed Release (IIA), and either Known (IIIA-1) or Potential (IIIB-1) Human Exposure
- o Known Hazardous Waste (IA), Suspected Release (IIB), and Known (IIIA-1) Human Exposure
- o Suspected Hazardous Waste (IB), Observed (IIA) or Suspected (IIB) Release, and Known Human Exposure (IIIA-1)

This site should be classified as a MEDIUM PRIORITY for a Screening Site Inspection (mSSI) if any of the following combinations of responses were provided above:

- o Suspected Hazardous Waste (IB), Observed (IIA) or Suspected Release (IIB), and Potential Human Exposure (IIIB-1)
- o Suspected Hazardous Waste (IB), Unknown Release (IIC), and Known (IIIA-1) or Potential Human Exposure (IIIB-1)

This site should be classified as NO FURTHER REMEDIAL ACTION PLANNED (NFRAP) if the Waste Type was either Exempt (IC) or None (IE), or if the Exposure/Pathway checked was None (IIIC), whether or not Waste/Release entries were checked.

This site should be scheduled for a revised Preliminary Assessment if the Waste Type checked was Unknown (ID).

SITE DISPOSITION (circle one)

Disposition      NFRAP      mSSI      hSSI      Revised PA

Comments      The letters STP were used  
as an abbreviation for Sewage  
Treatment Plant not STP oil treatment.